1 2	Bingham McCutchen LLP WILLIAM F. ABRAMS (CA SBN 88805) MIT WINTER (CA SBN 238515) 1900 University Avenue		
3	East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2223 Telephone: 650.849.4400		
4	william.abrams@bingham.com		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff The Board of Trustees of The Leland Stanford Junio	or	
6	University		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	The Board of Trustees of The Leland Stanford Junior University,	No. 3:08-cv-04950 CRB	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO	
13	V.	RESPOND TO COUNTERCLAIMS	
14 15	Stanford Financial Group Company and Stanford Group Company,		
16	Defendants.		
17 18	Stanford Group Company,		
19	Counterclaim Plaintiff, v.		
20 21	The Board of Trustees of The Leland Stanford Junior University and The Leland Stanford Junior University,		
22	Counterclaim Defendants.		
23	WHEREAS, counterclaim defendants The E	Board of Trustees of The Leland Stanford	
24	Junior University and The Leland Stanford Junior University (the "Counterclaim Defendants")		
25	were served with Stanford Group Company's ("Stanford Group") Counterclaims in the above		
26	action on February 13, 2009;		
27	WHEREAS, the Counterclaim Defendants' to	time to respond to the Counterclaims pursuant	
28	Case No. 3:08-cv-04950 CRB		

1	to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, Rule 12(a)(1)(B) normally would be March 9, 2009;		
2	WHEREAS, on February 17, 2009, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the		
3	"SEC") filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas		
4	alleging securities fraud against defendant Stanford Group Company ("Stanford Group") and		
5	related companies and persons, including Stanford International Bank, Ltd., Stanford Capital		
6	Management, LLC, R. Allen Stanford, James M. Davis, and Laura Pendergest-Holt;		
7	WHEREAS, on February 17, 2009, the SEC filed (1) a motion for temporary restraining,		
8	order freezing assets, order requiring an accounting, order requiring preservation of documents		
9	and order authorizing expedited discovery and (2) a motion to appoint a receiver;		
10	WHEREAS, on February 17, 2009, the District Court granted the SEC's motions for		
11	temporary restraining and to appoint a receiver;		
12	WHEREAS, in view of the temporary restraining order and the order appointing a		
13	receiver, Defendants Stanford Financial Group Company ("Stanford Financial") and Stanford		
14	Group requested that the existing hearing dates in this matter be continued to allow the receiver		
15	time to determine how it wishes to proceed;		
16	WHEREAS, on February 19, 2009, the parties stipulated that (1) there was good cause		
17	for the Court to enter an order resetting the hearing dates for Stanford Financial Group		
18	Company's Motion to Dismiss in Part for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (Doc. No. 57), Stanford		
19	Group Company's Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint For Failure to State a Claim		
20	on Which Relief Can be Granted (Doc. No. 62), Stanford Financial Group Company's Motion for		
21	Judgment on the Pleadings and to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 66), Stanford		
22	Financial Group Company's Motion to Dismiss a Portion of the First Amended Complaint for		
23	Lack of Subject-Matter Jurisdiction (Doc. No. 74), and Stanford Financial Group Company's		
24	Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 80), which were all set for March 20, 2009, to		
25	May 22, 2009, with opposition and reply dates to run from the later, re-scheduled date pursuant		
26	to Civil L.R. 7-3; (2) a Case Management Conference be scheduled on March 20, 2009, with a		
27	Joint Case Management Conference Statement due by March 13, 2009; and (3) the Settlement		
28	Conference before Magistrate Judge Bernard Zimmerman, which was set for March 12, 2009, be Case No. 3:08-cv-04950 CRB		

1	re-scheduled to a date available to Magistrate Judge Zimmerman, approximately on or about		
2	May 11, 2009;		
3	WHEREAS, on February 20, 2009, the Court ordered that the hearing dates, Case		
4	Management Conference, and Settlement Conference be continued and scheduled in accordance		
5	with the stipulation;		
6	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties that Counterclaim Defendants'		
7	time to respond to the Counterclaims shall be extended by 60 days. Counterclaim Defendants		
8	shall have until May 8, 2009 to answer or otherwise respond to the Counterclaims.		
9	D. A. TICK . T. 1		
10	DATED: February 24, 2009	Singham McCutchen LLP	
11			
12		Dry /c/ William E. Abrama	
13		By: /s/ William F. Abrams William F. Abrams	
14		Bingham McCutchen LLP	
15 16		Attorneys for Plaintiff The Board of Trustees of The Leland Stanford Junior University	
17			
18			
19	DATED. Teordary 24, 2007	Pillingham & Murphy LLP	
20			
21		Dry /c/William E. Mysmahy	
22	D	By: /s/ William F. Murphy William F. Murphy	
23		Dillingham & Murphy, LLP Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	
24	,	Attorneys for Defendants Stanford	
25	;	Financial Group Company and Stanford Group Company	
26			
27	,		
28	Case No. 3:08-cv-04950 CRB 3		

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT The Board of Trustees of The Leland Stanford Junior University and The Leland Stanford Junior University ("Counterclaim Defendants") time to respond to Stanford Group Company's Counterclaims shall be extended by 60 days. Counterclaim Defendants shall have until May 8, 2009 to answer or otherwise respond to the Counterclaims. DATED: February 24, 2009 The Honorable Charles R. Breyer UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUPT IT IS SO ORDERED Judge Charles R. Breyer

Case No. 3:08-cv-04950 CRB